

Rockdale Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment 1 (Housekeeping)

Rockdale Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment 1 (Housekeeping)			
Proposal Title :	Rockdale Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment 1 (Housekeeping)		
Proposal Summary :	The first amendment to the Rockdale Local Environmental Plan 2011 proposes to amend:		
	 Land use table anomalies (Part 2) Zone objective for the R2 Low Density Residential and R3 Medium Density Residential zones (Part 2) Subdivision requirements for dual occupancy development (Part 4) Wolli Creek development incentive clause (Part 4) Exempt Development requirements for A-frame signs (Schedule 2) Heritage schedule (Schedule 5) 		
	• Various maps.		
PP Number :	PP_2012_ROCKD_001_00 Dop File No : 10/03660		
Planning Team Recom	Imendation		
Preparation of the planr	ning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions :	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:		
	1. The planning proposal is exhibited for 14 days		
	3. The planning proposal should be completed within 6 months of Gateway Determination		
	4. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the Section 117 Directions and Council does not need to address these Directions further		
	5. No consultation with Public Authorities is required		
	6. No further studies are required to be carried out		
	7. Council is to consider whether the addition of A frames signs and sandwich boards as exempt development in Schedule 2 should require the following:		
	- compliance with the Roads Act 1993 - the signs are not erected on classified roads - the signs are not illuminated		
	8. Council is to ensure that the proposed zone objectives for the R2 and R3 Medium Density zones have a regard for the overall character of the area, while still supporting uses such as places of public worship, community facilities.		
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is the first proposal to amend the Rockdale LEP 2011. It aims to correct inconsistencies and clarify Council's policy position within the Rockdale LEP 2011. The various changes will add to the consistency and legibility of the plan, making it easier to use.		

Rockdale Local Environmental Plan 2011 Amendment 1 (Housekeeping)

nel Recommendation			
Recommendation Date :	10-May-2012	Gateway Recommendation : Passed with Conditions	
Panel Recommendation :	The Planning Proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:		
		der including as a condition that development for the purpose of	
	A-frame signs and sandwich boards should comply with the requirements of the Roads Act 1993, should not be erected on classified roads and should not to be illuminated. Council is		
	to amend the planning proposal prior to the commencement of public exhibition to indicate		
		g reference to these additional development standards.	
		the planning proposal to remove references to the correction of	
		lanation' column of Table 1 – Proposed land use table	
		e an accurate explanation for the amendment. Council is to ts to Table 1 of the planning proposal prior to the commencemen	
	of public exhibition and provide a copy of the revised planning proposal to the Department		
	s Regional Team.		
		tion is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmen t Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:	
		l must be made publicly available for 28 days; and	
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public		
	exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 4.5 of A Guide to		
	Preparing LEPs (Departm		
	4. Consultation is require the EP&A Act:	red with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of	
	Office of Environmen	t and Heritage	
	relevant supporting mater comment on the proposal	b be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any rial. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to , or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment uthorities may request additional information or additional n the planning proposal.	
		t required to be held into the matter by any person or body unde	
		A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it m t a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if	
	6. The timeframe for con date of the Gateway deter	npleting the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the mination.	
	Bi	\mathcal{O}	
Signature:	teen		
Printed Name:	Nort Mich	AfriDate: 18.5.12	

ŀ